

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,700		09/14/2001	Margo Gisselberg	34114.8005US	8619
25096	7590	01/29/2004		EXAMINER	
<b>PERKINS</b>	COIE LL	.P	HUANG, SIHONG		
PATENT-SI				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 1 SEATTLE,		11-1247		2632	
,	701			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/954,700	GISSELBERG ET AL.				
Office Action Sum	mary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sihong Huang	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. s than thirty (30) days, a reply e maximum statutory period w eriod for reply will, by statute, hree months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on <u>14 Se</u>	eptember 2001.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) _ 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allow 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object 8) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to	is/are withdraw wed. cted. cted to.	n from consideration.					
Application Papers		·					
	is/are: a) acce at any objection to the c including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	d 120						
3. Copies of the certific application from the *See the attached detailed O 13) Acknowledgment is made of since a specific reference was 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the final translation of tra	None of: ne priority documents ne priority documents ne priority documents ed copies of the priori International Bureau ffice action for a list of a claim for domestic as included in the firs foreign language prov f a claim for domestic	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) t sentence of the specification or visional application has been received.	on No  Id in this National Stage  d.  e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.  eived.  and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P</li> </ol>		5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/954,700

Art Unit: 2632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-27, drawn to the structure of a resonating marker assembly, classified in class 340, subclass 572.5.
  - II. Claims 28-37 and 39, drawn to the methods of tuning a resonating marker assembly to a selected resonant frequency, classified in class 334, subclass 71.
  - III. Claim 38, drawn to a method of making a tuned resonating marker assembly, classified in class 340, subclass 572.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group I is directed to the structure of a resonating marker assembly, Group II is directed to different methods and procedures of tuning a resonating marker assembly to a selected resonant frequency, and Group III is directed to a method of making a tuned resonating marker assembly. They are distinct inventions that require different searches.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I) Figures 1-7, 9-13 and 18

Species II) Figure 8

Species III) Figure 14

Species IV) Figures 15-17

Species V) Figure 19

Application/Control Number: 09/954,700

Art Unit: 2632

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 30 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/954,700

Art Unit: 2632

Page 4

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sihong Huang whose telephone number is 703-305-3966. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed, Thu & Fir.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on 703-308-6730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

S. Huang January 16, 2004

DANIEL J. WU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/24 (04)